

MINUTES OF THE BOARD MEETING OF APRIL 9, 1973

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order by President Voss at 8 P.M.

ROLL CALL

Present at roll call: Trustee Capulli, Trustee Shultz, Trustee Wyatt, Trustee Schwemm, Trustee Pierson, Trustee Sass, Jr.
Also present: Village Manager, Dean H. Maiben; Village Attorney, J. William Braithwaite; Village Clerk, Karol Hartmann; Deputy Village Clerk, Doris L. Belz. The audience numbered 28.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE BOARD MEETING OF MARCH 26, 1973

The Minutes were approved on motion of Trustee Wyatt; second, Trustee Pierson. Roll call: Trustee Capulli, abstained; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

INQUIRIES FROM THE AUDIENCE

Mrs. Lenore Dern, representing the Barrington Chapter of the Women's Hospital Auxiliary, requested permission for a Tag Day to be held May 4 and 5.

MOTION: Trustee Capulli moved to grant permission to the Barrington Chapter of the Women's Hospital Auxiliary to hold a Tag Day on May 4 and 5 and waiving all fees; second, Trustee Wyatt. Roll call: Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

Mrs. Thomas Gooch, representing the Barrington Junior Women's Club requested permission to hold a "Tailgate Bake Sale" on May 5.

MOTION: Trustee Schwemm moved to grant permission to the Barrington Junior Women's Club to hold a "Tailgate Bake Sale" on May 5, all fees be waived, and consult with the Chief of Police concerning the safety of the proposed routes. Second, Trustee Pierson. Roll call: Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

INQUIRIES FROM THE AUDIENCE, (continued)

John Jacob, representing the Barrington Taxi Association, (composed of Tommy's Taxi and Barrington Village Taxi) requested information from the Village Board as to the procedure for issuing another taxicab license which he reported was available. The Village Attorney directed the association to present documentation to show forfeiture of a State license and taxi registration by the present holder, as Mr. Jacob claimed.

REPORTS OF VILLAGE OFFICIALSPRESIDENT'S REPORT

The Village President announced a public meeting to be held at Harper College in Room 242, April 19, 1973, at 7:30 p.m. to discuss the subject, "Nuclear Power - Safe or Unsafe?". The Village President remarked that the panel selected to discuss this topic was extremely able.

The Village President noted the receipt of the "Illinois East-West Tollway Revenue Summary", reporting that net operating revenues are up 18.8% above last year.

The President reported that the Board meeting scheduled for May 28, 1973, is a legal holiday (Memorial Day).

MOTION: Trustee Schwemm moved that the second regular meeting of May be held Tuesday, May 29, 1973 at 8:00 P.M.; second, Trustee Sass, Jr. Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

MANAGER'S REPORT

The Village Manager commented on the success of the new Service Center which is helpful to the citizens and enables our village employees to efficiently service such requests.

The Village Manager invited the citizens of Barrington as well as other communities to attend the Water Reclamation Works dedication on Sunday, April 15, 1973 at 2:00 P.M.

The Village Manager reported he hoped to have a report on taxicab licensing at the next Board meeting. The Village President asked that licenses be reviewed to determine if the holder is meeting all requirements of the ordinance.

MANAGER'S REPORT, (continued)

The Village Manager presented the March Utility Report.

The Village Manager presented the attached Electronic Data Processing Report and a Progress Report on the status of the Railroad Station relocation.

Mr. John Ebel, 212 North Hager Avenue, questioned the projected location of the Coach Storage Facility and suggested the use of land West of Cummor for this purpose. Trustee Pierson suggested a restudy of available land.

TRUSTEE'S REPORTS

Trustee Schwemm suggested that the Staff recommend a new design for the 1974 vehicle sticker that would complement the Countryside Y.M.C.A.

OLD BUSINESSCONSIDERATION OF A CHANGE ORDER IN THE RUSSELL-SUMMIT STREET STORM SEWER SANITARY SEWER CONTRACT.

After presentation of the Village Manager's report, recommendation and discussion:

MOTION: Trustee Wyatt moved that Change Order 1 for extra work in removing concrete storm sewer under railroad track in the amount of \$9,337.67; Change Order 2, for relocation of existing twelve-inch storm sewer and one additional man-hole in the amount of \$1,050.00, Change Order 3, relocation of Russell-Summit Street storm and sanitary sewer to the parkway and change of specifications with limited increase in piling in the amount of \$27,201.00 be approved; second, Trustee Sass. Roll call: Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

CONSIDERATION OF A PROPOSAL BY THE STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT RELATIVE TO SIGNALIZATION OF HOUGH STREET AND HILLSIDE AVENUE.

Trustee Shultz asked that this item be studied by the Staff in light of the fact that no State funds are available for the major work required.

NEW BUSINESS

MARTIN AZNAVOORIAN, DOCKET PC 17-73 N-17, COMMERCIAL OFFICE BUILDING.

The Village Manager read the Plan Commission's recommendation. Mr. Judson Ball, petitioner, presented drawings to the Board. Trustee Shultz questioned the right-of-way. President Voss suggested the Staff prepare a sketch to indicate the Washington Street extension in relation to the building location.

LIST OF BILLS

Payment was approved from funds indicated on the List of Bills with the exception of the payment to Northwestern University in the amount of \$220.00 (duplicate).

MOTION: Trustee Pierson; second, Trustee Sass, Jr.
 Roll call: Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye;
 Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson,
 aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned 9:00 o'clock p.m. MOTION: Trustee Capulli; second, Trustee Sass, Jr. Trustee Capulli, aye; Trustee Shultz, aye; Trustee Wyatt, aye; Trustee Schwemm, aye; Trustee Pierson, aye; Trustee Sass, Jr., aye.



Karol Hartmann
 Village Clerk

THESE MINUTES NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY BOARD OF TRUSTEES;
 CHECK FOR CHANGES.

M E M O

President and Village Board
Electronic Data Processing Progress Chart

Page Two

To: President and Village Board April 9, 1973
From: D. H. Maiben, Village Manager
Re: Electronic Data Processing Progress Chart

Since December 15, the installation date of the Data Processing equipment, considerable progress has been made.

The original objectives of the program were as follows:

1. To provide information on the operation of the Village and vital to future decision making which were not economically feasible with clerical methods.
2. To create a more efficient clerical operation which would insure future savings as the Village grows or expands its services.
3. To eliminate the need for a growing clerical staff.
4. To allow other government agencies in the area to enjoy cost savings created by the speed and capacity of Data Processing equipment.
5. To insure that the above objectives we've accomplished without increasing cost to the Village.

To date, all of these goals are accomplished or within view. Many major organizational changes produce benefits over a period of time. In this case, the benefits have been accomplished in a short period. Our expectations for the long-range are brighter than when we originally started.

The overall program calls for lease purchase of equipment for \$1,200 per month. The Village is to share the cost on a 50% basis with the High School. Each organization is to pay all costs of operation, programs and supplies as used. It was decided that the Village would undertake use of the equipment first because a more simple changeover for the Village would require less lead time; therefore, the equipment was placed in the Village Hall until the High School is ready for installation in the fall of 1973. By that time, the Village will have the majority of its installations operational and scheduled for machine operation in order to avoid any conflict with the High School operation.

Reorganization of the clerical employees of the Village has been accomplished. This will insure that optimum use of the Data Processing equipment as well as utilize clerical personnel at maximum efficiency.

The accomplishment of this reorganization has allowed a reduction of two (2) clerical positions from the current budget.

The net effect has been a reduction of \$15,000 in personnel cost as opposed to \$7,800 for one-half of the Data Processing equipment lease.

We are told that when the High School switches over to use of this equipment, that a savings of \$10,000 per year in equipment rents may be realized.

In about one year, when our programs and techniques have been fully developed, and the majority of our applications are underway, we will be able to serve neighboring municipalities with any combination of applications, from Police Records to land use information. At that time, the cost of the equipment to the Village will near the break even point.

While the short-range benefits are satisfying, the long-range potential is exhilarating.

DHM:hj

M E M O

To: President and Village Board
From: D. H. Maiben, Village Manager
Re: Railroad Station Relocation - A Progress Report

April 9, 1972

Negotiations with the Chicago and North Western Railroad have reached a point that we will be able to make a recommendation shortly. Our original goal was to have agreement tonight; however, the Railroad Attorney has been ill during the past week and a final draft of the agreement has been held up.

Basically, the principles of the agreement are quite simple.

The Village is agreeing to three things. 1) That it will buy approximately 3.5 acres of Railroad Right-of-Way, starting at Cook Street and running 1,800 feet Easterly. 2) That we will use the property to operate commuter parking lots and 3) that we will provide the Railroad with twenty (20) employee parking spaces near the Water Reclamation Plant and that we will provide a ten-foot-wide right-of-way easement for construction and operating of a coach storage yard along the Langendorf Park property.

The Railroad is agreeing to two things. 1) To relocate the coach storage yard and make that property available for commuter parking and 2) to build a new station and platform in the area of the storage yard to insure that crossing gates at Cook, Main and Hough Streets do not go down until a train has left the station.

The price which the Village will pay for Railroad property will be slightly above the appraised value of property in the area. The reason is that the Railroad must realize sufficient funds to finance the yard and station work. This extra cost can be justified as a public benefit. It is expected that the total cost of the public benefit for improved traffic conditions will be about \$50,000.

The Village can recoup the remainder of the funds from revenue generated as new parking is needed. This plan will provide up to 100 shopper parking spaces as needed, and an additional 200 commuter spaces will be developed as needed.

In addition to this acquisition, the Village, in order to accommodate a relocated station, is seeking a Federal grant to buy most of the property South of Station Street and West of Wool Street. We presently lease part of this property for parking purposes and part of it is in use for commercial and residential purposes. If this grant is approved, 180 new commuter spaces will be built in addition to extending Spring Street to the location of the new station and out to Wool Street.

DHM:hj

RESOLUTION NO. _____

(Re: 1973 Municipal Election)

WHEREAS, a general municipal election was held in and for the Village of Barrington, Lake and Cook Counties, Illinois, on the 17th day of April, 1973, for the purpose of electing a Village President for a four (4) year term, a Village Clerk for a four (4) year term, and three (3) Trustees for a four (4) year term each, pursuant to law; and

WHEREAS, said election was regularly called and held, after due notice pursuant to law, and prior to said election the judges thereof were duly sworn and qualified and said election was regularly called and held in all respects as required by law:

NOW THEREFORE, be it and it is hereby resolved by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Barrington, Illinois, that:

SECTION 1. The Village election held on the 17th day of April 1973 was held in all respects as provided by law, the judges of election were regularly qualified, notice was given as required by law and ballots in sufficient numbers were furnished at all times during the hours of said election.

SECTION 2. The returns having been examined and canvassed, the Corporate Authorities hereby declare that the following candidates received the following votes for the terms set beside their respective names:

	<u>NAMES</u>	<u>VOTES CAST</u>
Village President (4 year term)	HARV HOLLEK	635
	FREDERICK J. VOSS	1,118
	ESTELLE M. LINDSKOG	31

Village Clerk
(4 year term)

Village Trustee
(4 year term)

1
JU
24

<u>NAMES</u>	<u>VOTES CAST</u>
JUDSON C. BALL	33
HAROLD LIPOFSKY (write in)	1
JOHN BLANKE (write in)	1
MICKEY MOUSE (write in)	1

KAROL S. HARTMANN	1,657
LAURA MINSTER (write in)	1
HAROLD LIPOFSKY (write in)	1
LINDA ECKL (write in)	1
OLIVER MILLER (write in)	1
VIRGINIA RICHTER (write in)	1
TONY FOUSE (write in)	1
MAY PINKERMAN (write in)	3
MARCIA O'CONNOR (write in)	1
HELEN JAHNHOLTZ (write in)	1
DIANE BARTELS (write in)	1
WENDY LAGESCHULTE (write in)	1
HELEN A. BOYD (write in)	1
ROBERT COONEY (write in)	2
JANICE K. DAVIS (write in)	1
CONSTANCE BARNICLE (write in)	1

PAUL J. SHULTZ	1,096
HENRY G. SASS, JR.	1,041
A. KEITH PIERSON	1,018
CRAIG LOGAN	252
LLOYD N. STONER	497
THOMAS A. MITCHELL	570
ROBERT L. CONNER	651
RICHARD WEYERS (write in)	1
CAROL WEYERS (write in)	1
JAMES PERRILLE (write in)	1
DAVID HAGER (write in)	1
NORMAN H. DAVIS III (write in)	1
CAROL HESS (write in)	1
THOMAS HESS (write in)	1
JUDY STEWART (write in)	1

33 }
===== OBJECTED TO BALLOTS
===== DEFECTIVE BALLOTS
===== SPOILED BALLOTS
===== REJECTED BALLOTS

SECTION 3. That FREDERICK J. VOSS was duly elected as Village President for a four (4) year term, KAROL S. HARTMANN was duly elected as Village Clerk for a four (4) year term, and PAUL J. SHULTZ, HENRY G. SASS, JR., and A. KEITH PIERSON were duly

elected as Village Trustees for a four (4) year term each.

PASSED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 1973 ON
MOTION OF TRUSTEE _____, SECONDED BY TRUSTEE _____
_____.

AYES _____ NAYS _____ ABSENT _____

APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 1973.

Village President

ATTESTED AND FILED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 1973.

Village Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
SPRINGFIELD 62708

APR 9 1973

Village Clerk
Village of Barrington
206 South Hough Street
Barrington, Illinois 60010

We wish to advise you that Municipal Tax has been reported and collected for your Municipality for the month of JAN 1973 as follows:

Municipal Retailers' and Service Occupation Tax Collected	\$ 39,103.07
Protested Tax Deduction	<u> -0- </u>
Net Total	\$ 39,103.07
Less 4% for administration	<u> 1,564.12 </u>
Amount to be remitted	\$ 37,538.95

The State Comptroller has been notified to issue warrant to you in the above amount.

Because of court orders pending at the present time, all R.O.T. Protest payments are being deducted and will be released by the Treasurer in accordance with court decisions.

Reply to: Illinois Department of Revenue
Manager, Accounting Services Division
P. O. Box 3747
Springfield, Illinois 62708
Telephone Number: 217-525-7289

P.S. If the amount of tax collected for you varies from one month to another, it is because:

1. Some taxpayers file returns monthly but tax paid cannot be compared with the preceding month because of seasonal business.
2. Some taxpayers file returns on an annual basis, so tax will be included in your collections for the month of January only.

RESOLUTION NO. _____

(Re: House Bill 552 Redistribution of Local
sales and Service Tax)

WHEREAS House Bill 552 concerning redistribution
of local sales and service tax is pending before the
Illinois General Assembly; and

WHEREAS said Bill would reduce the amount of
revenue available to the Village of Barrington; and

WHEREAS said Bill does not properly allocate sums
to the Village of Barrington to reimburse the Village
for costs incurred in providing certain essential
services to businesses located within the Village:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the President and
Board of Trustees of the Village of Barrington, Lake and
Cook Counties, Illinois, that the Village of Barrington
is opposed to House Bill 552 and urges its defeat in the
Illinois General Assembly.

PASSED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 1973.

AYES _____ NAYS _____ ABSENT _____

Village President

ATTESTED AND FILED
THIS _____ DAY OF _____
_____, 1973.

Village Clerk



ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

Member National League of Cities

STEVEN SARGENT
Executive Director

1220 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62703
TELEPHONE AREA CODE 217-525-1726

3rd April 1973

TO: MAYOR/VILLAGE PRESIDENT OR MANAGER ADDRESSED

IMPORTANT LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION BULLETIN
HOUSE BILL 552 - REDISTRIBUTION OF LOCAL SALES AND SERVICE TAX

HOUSE BILL 552

This is a complicated Bill that would completely change the method of levy and distribution of the 1% local sales and service occupation taxes.

1. It would repeal the authority of municipalities and the county government to levy said taxes locally by ordinance.
2. It would then impose an additional 1% state sales and service tax and provide for the distribution of said revenues to municipalities and county governments.
3. All revenues would be allocated to the 102 county areas on the basis of "point of origin" (the county in which the sale that produced the tax took place).
4. Within the county area the distribution would be on the basis of two factors:
 - A) Twenty percent on the basis of "point of origin". That is, each municipality would receive 20% of the tax produced by sales within its city or village limits; the county government would receive 20% of the tax produced by sales in the unincorporated area.
 - B) The remaining 80% within each county area would be distributed on a straight population basis

HOUSE BILL 552 IS SET FOR HEARING ON TUESDAY, APRIL 10, 1973 AT 2:00 P.M. IN HOUSE CITIES AND VILLAGES COMMITTEE IN THE STATE CAPITOL BUILDING, SPRINGFIELD. IF YOU WISHING TO APPEAR SHOULD PERS. THEIR CHAIRMAN'S ACCORDINGLY.

EXPLANATION OF COST DATA SHEETS

WE ENCLOSE TWO PRINTOUTS (for your county area) OF THE DOLLAR IMPACT OF HOUSE BILL 552. THIS DATA WAS COMPILED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES' STAFF. THERE ARE MINOR DISCREPANCIES RESULTING FROM THE FACT THAT A FEW LOCAL JURISDICTIONS DO NOT LEVY THE SALES AND/OR SERVICE TAX OR DO NOT LEVY THEM AT THE FULL 1% RATE, IN THESE CASES ESTIMATES WERE MADE. DATA IS FOR STATE FISCAL YEAR 1972. (JULY 1, 1971 TO JUNE 30, 1972). ECONOMIC GROWTH HAS, OF COURSE, TAKEN PLACE.

CHART #1

THIS IS THE 20% - 80% FORMULA CONTAINED IN HOUSE BILL 552. That is 20% returned on the basis of "point of origin" and 80% on the basis of "population".

CHART #2

THIS IS A 50% - 50% FORMULA THAT IS BEING CONSIDERED BY THE BILL'S PROPOSERS BUT IS NOT IN THE LEGISLATION. Under this proposal (if it were amended into the bill) within each county area 50% would be returned on the basis of "point of origin" and 50% on the basis of "population".

MISCELLANEOUS EXPLANATION OF ITEMS

Data for each municipality is listed by name of the municipality. Data for the county government is listed as "County Government - Unincorporated Population".

The item entitled "County Incorporated Total" represents totals for all municipalities in the county area.

COLUMN - "PROJECTED DISBURSEMENTS"

Is the total dollars that the jurisdiction would have received during fiscal 1972 (July 1, 1971 through June 30, 1972) if the formula had been in effect. (In Chart 1, 20% - 80% or in Chart 2, 50% - 50%).

COLUMN - "PROJECTED PER CAPITA"

Is the per-capita dollar amount that would have been received by each jurisdiction based on the new formula and for fiscal year 1972.

COLUMN "DOLLAR CHANGE"

Is the dollar amount of difference (either + or -) between the amount of dollars produced by the existing law (100% point of origin) and the proposed formula, as indicated in "PROJECTED DISBURSEMENTS". If you were to calculate (add or subtract) "PROJECTED DISBURSEMENTS" and "DOLLAR CHANGE", you could determine your total under existing law. The data is again for fiscal 1972. A minus mark indicates a loss under the formula, no mark indicates an increase under the formula.

COLUMN - "PERCENT OF CHANGE"

These figures indicate the percentage of change between the amounts received under existing law and the proposed formula for fiscal year 1972. A minus mark indicates the loss under the proposed formula, no mark indicates the increase under the proposed formula.

(OVER)

#1

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION COMMISSION
SALES TAX REDISTRIBUTION
(PLAN C 20/80 %)
PREPARED ON: MARCH 29, 1973

NAME	PROJECTED --DISBURSEMENT--	PROJECTED --PER CAPITA--	DOLLAR --CHANGE--	PERCENT --CHANGE--
COOK COUNTY TOTAL				
WALSIP VILLAGE	288,086.29	24.04	-77,604.08	-21.22
ARLINGTON HIGHTS VILLAGE	1,471,711.23	22.68	-67,475.55	-4.38
BARRINGTON HILLS VILLAGE-PART	34,556.97	18.85	26,171.71	312.12
BARRINGTON VILLAGE-PART	134,051.70	28.90	-120,230.06	-47.28
BARTLETT VILLAGE-PART	53,967.59	21.50	9,248.53	20.68
BEUFORD PARK VILLAGE	39,553.94	67.85	-105,927.20	-72.81
BELLWOOD VILLAGE	453,801.92	20.54	166,556.44	57.98
BENSINVILLE VILLAGE-PART	51.10	25.55	-25.03	-32.88
BERKELEY VILLAGE	129,355.12	21.03	34,345.13	36.15
BERWYN CITY	1,183,640.80	22.54	-25,720.82	-2.13
BLUE ISLAND CITY	526,856.48	22.95	-48,350.04	-8.41
BOLINGBROOK VILLAGE-PART	.00	.00	.00	.00
BRIDGEVIEW VILLAGE	307,305.85	24.54	-106,140.02	-25.67
BROADVIEW VILLAGE	251,963.53	27.07	-173,120.30	-40.73
BROOKFIELD VILLAGE	418,494.30	20.63	145,310.82	53.19
BUFFALO GROVE VILLAGE-PART	230,896.59	19.18	156,177.32	209.02
BURBANK	527,700.11	19.83	275,539.66	109.35
BURNHAM VILLAGE	68,132.28	18.75	53,400.03	362.47
BURR RIDGE VILLAGE-PART	17,943.67	22.57	-472.09	-2.56
CALUMET CITY	862,023.01	26.15	-492,307.30	-36.35
CALUMET PARK VILLAGE	210,834.20	20.94	59,739.89	39.54
CHICAGO CITY	74,006,153.41	21.98	5,953,785.85	8.75
CHICAGO HIGHTS CITY	977,518.86	23.90	-241,807.76	-19.83
CHICAGO RIDGE VILLAGE	222,353.42	22.58	-6,247.92	-2.73
CICERO TOWN	1,491,240.67	22.24	49,390.19	3.43
COUNTRY CLUB HILLS CITY	132,890.27	19.20	89,085.53	203.37
COUNTRYSIDE CITY	214,267.39	53.14	-495,664.90	-69.81
CRESTWOOD VILLAGE	126,135.10	21.86	12,964.05	11.46
DEERFIELD VILLAGE-PART	199.41	22.16	9.54	5.02
DES PLAINES CITY	1,457,833.71	25.47	-697,636.51	-32.37
DIXMOOR VILLAGE	88,986.21	18.79	68,731.69	339.34
DOLTON VILLAGE	567,558.86	21.88	56,023.44	10.95
EAST CHICAGO HIGHTS VILL	92,953.42	18.59	76,630.38	469.46
EAST HAZELCREST VILLAGE	39,928.81	21.18	9,348.16	30.57
ELGIN CITY-PART	132,980.06	24.87	-52,354.17	-28.25
ELK GROVE VILLAGE-PART	661,900.20	27.01	-450,045.35	-40.47
ELMWOOD PARK VILLAGE	545,901.59	20.87	162,653.14	42.44
EVANSTON CITY	1,781,091.65	22.23	50,873.40	3.54

#2

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION COMMISSION
SALES TAX REDISTRIBUTION
(PLAN C 50/50 %)
PREPARED ON: MARCH 29, 1973

NAME	PROJECTED --DISBURSEMENT--	PROJECTED --PER CAPITA--	DOLLAR --CHANGE--	PERCENT --CHANGE--
COOK COUNTY TOTAL				
WALSIP VILLAGE	317,187.82	26.47	-48,502.55	-13.26
ARLINGTON HIGHTS VILLAGE	1,497,014.56	23.07	-42,172.22	-2.74
BARRINGTON HILLS VILLAGE-PART	24,742.58	13.50	16,357.32	195.07
BARRINGTON VILLAGE-PART	179,137.97	38.62	-75,143.74	-29.55
BARTLETT VILLAGE-PART	50,499.39	20.12	5,780.33	12.93
BEUFORD PARK VILLAGE	79,276.64	135.98	-66,204.50	-45.51
BELLWOOD VILLAGE	391,343.26	17.71	104,097.78	36.24
BENSINVILLE VILLAGE-PART	60.48	30.24	-15.65	-20.56
BERKELEY VILLAGE	116,475.69	18.93	21,465.70	22.59
BERWYN CITY	1,193,286.11	22.73	-16,075.51	-1.33
BLUE ISLAND CITY	544,987.75	23.74	-30,418.77	-5.25
BOLINGBROOK VILLAGE-PART	.00	.00	.00	.00
BRIDGEVIEW VILLAGE	347,108.36	27.72	-66,337.51	-16.05
BROADVIEW VILLAGE	316,883.64	34.05	-108,200.19	-25.45
BROOKFIELD VILLAGE	363,992.74	17.94	90,519.26	33.25
BUFFALO GROVE VILLAGE-PART	172,330.09	14.31	97,610.82	130.64
BURBANK	424,335.23	15.95	172,274.78	68.35
BURNHAM VILLAGE	48,107.27	13.24	33,375.02	226.54
BURR RIDGE VILLAGE-PART	18,120.70	22.79	-295.06	-1.60
CALUMET CITY	1,046,038.24	31.76	-307,692.07	-22.72
CALUMET PARK VILLAGE	188,431.74	16.71	37,337.43	24.71
CHICAGO CITY	71,773,483.71	21.32	3,721,116.15	5.47
CHICAGO HIGHTS CITY	1,068,194.90	26.12	-151,126.72	-12.39
CHICAGO RIDGE VILLAGE	224,696.39	22.82	-3,934.95	-1.71
CICERO TOWN	1,472,719.34	21.96	30,868.86	2.14
COUNTRY CLUB HILLS CITY	99,483.19	14.58	55,678.45	127.11
COUNTRYSIDE CITY	400,241.73	99.22	-309,790.56	-43.63
CRESTWOOD VILLAGE	121,273.58	21.02	8,102.53	7.16
DEERFIELD VILLAGE-PART	195.83	21.76	5.96	3.14
DES PLAINES CITY	1,719,447.40	30.04	-436,022.82	-20.23
DIXMOOR VILLAGE	63,211.83	13.35	42,957.31	212.09
DOLTON VILLAGE	546,550.07	21.07	35,014.05	6.85
EAST CHICAGO HIGHTS VILL	64,217.03	12.84	47,893.99	293.41
EAST HAZELCREST VILLAGE	36,423.25	19.32	5,042.60	19.11
ELGIN CITY-PART	152,612.87	28.54	-32,721.36	-17.66
ELK GROVE VILLAGE-PART	830,697.20	33.90	-281,278.35	-25.33
ELMWOOD PARK VILLAGE	484,906.06	18.54	101,658.21	26.53
EVANSTON CITY	1,758,263.97	21.95	38,046.12	2.21

#1

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
 INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION COMMISSION
 SALES TAX REDISTRIBUTION
 (PLAN C 20/40 %)
 PREPARED ON: MARCH 29, 1973

NAME	PROJECTED DISBURSEMENT	PROJECTED PER CAPITA	DOLLAR CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
LAKE COUNTY TOTAL				
ANTIOCH VILLAGE	107,426.05	33.69	-167,362.90	-60.91
BANNOCKBURN VILLAGE	22,425.29	16.50	22,096.20	6,714.33
BARRINGTON HILLS VILLAGE-PART	6,356.61	17.37	4,682.30	279.66
BARRINGTON VILLAGE-PART	83,981.42	27.42	-83,949.69	-49.99
BUFFALO GROVE VILLAGE-PART	63,946.75	17.69	41,516.69	105.09
DEER PARK VILLAGE	15,311.55	18.36	7,362.29	92.62
DEERFIELD VILLAGE-PART	391,221.35	20.65	-6,796.79	-1.71
FOX LAKE VILLAGE	130,901.53	29.02	-152,511.20	-53.81
GRAYSLAKE VILLAGE	107,489.69	21.91	-26,287.14	-19.65
GREEN OAKS VILLAGE	10,842.45	16.45	10,842.45	.00
GURNEE VILLAGE	88,311.91	32.25	-128,007.60	-59.18
HAINESVILLE VILLAGE	4,895.40	34.47	-7,900.07	-61.74
HAWTHORN WOODS VILLAGE	15,453.03	16.46	15,434.18	1,878.94
HIGHLAND PARK CITY	716,733.15	22.22	-213,034.76	-22.91
HIGHWOOD CITY	98,679.83	19.98	13,581.73	15.92
INDIAN CREEK VILLAGE	4,442.26	16.45	4,442.26	.00
ISLAND LAKE VILLAGE-PART	25,359.20	18.18	13,322.09	110.68
KILDEER VILLAGE	11,016.39	17.13	8,830.49	403.98
LAKE BARRINGTON VILLAGE	6,999.58	20.17	547.41	8.48
LAKE BLUFF VILLAGE	91,203.70	18.21	47,165.54	107.10
LAKE FOREST CITY	329,246.21	21.05	-30,204.37	-8.40
LAKE VILLA VILLAGE	27,572.19	25.30	-20,620.56	-42.79
LAKE ZURICH VILLAGE	110,096.81	20.16	8,858.88	8.75
LIBERTYVILLE VILLAGE	291,618.52	24.96	-205,296.31	-41.31
LINCOLNSHIRE VILLAGE	42,160.07	16.66	39,571.03	1,528.41
LINDENHURST VILLAGE	61,181.11	19.48	13,663.18	28.77
LONG GROVE VILLAGE	26,095.65	21.82	-5,994.37	-18.68
METTANA VILLAGE	4,689.07	16.45	4,689.07	.00
MUNDELEIN VILLAGE	338,624.20	21.00	-27,735.84	-7.57
NO BARRINGTON VILLAGE	23,705.67	16.80	21,252.41	866.29
NORTH CHICAGO CITY	809,399.94	17.12	651,451.95	412.45
OLD MILL CREEK VILLAGE	2,698.27	16.45	2,698.27	.00
PARK CITY	52,409.52	20.81	-2,773.11	-4.46
RIVERWOODS VILLAGE	26,500.04	16.87	23,237.27	712.19
ROUND LAKE BEACH VILLAGE	107,137.21	18.74	41,756.96	63.67
ROUND LAKE HEIGHTS VILLAGE	20,167.50	17.53	13,440.49	199.80
ROUND LAKE PARK VILLAGE	53,575.59	17.02	44,666.08	501.33
ROUND LAKE VILLAGE	50,755.52	22.15	77,075.00	151.85

#2

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
 INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION COMMISSION
 SALES TAX REDISTRIBUTION
 (PLAN C 50/50 %)
 PREPARED ON: MARCH 29, 1973

NAME	PROJECTED DISBURSEMENT	PROJECTED PER CAPITA	DOLLAR CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
LAKE COUNTY TOTAL				
ANTIOCH VILLAGE	170,187.14	53.37	-104,601.81	-38.07
BANNOCKBURN VILLAGE	14,139.21	10.40	13,810.12	4,196.46
BARRINGTON HILLS VILLAGE-PART	4,600.75	12.57	2,928.44	174.78
BARRINGTON VILLAGE-PART	115,402.55	37.70	-52,408.58	-31.24
BUFFALO GROVE VILLAGE-PART	48,577.99	13.39	25,947.93	115.68
DEER PARK VILLAGE	12,550.70	15.05	4,601.43	57.80
DEERFIELD VILLAGE-PART	393,770.14	20.79	-4,248.00	-1.07
FOX LAKE VILLAGE	188,093.23	41.70	-95,319.50	-33.63
GRAYSLAKE VILLAGE	117,347.37	25.91	-16,429.46	-12.28
GREEN OAKS VILLAGE	6,776.53	10.28	6,776.53	.00
GURNEE VILLAGE	136,314.76	49.79	-80,004.75	-36.98
HAINESVILLE VILLAGE	7,857.92	55.34	-4,937.55	-38.59
HAWTHORN WOODS VILLAGE	9,665.21	10.29	9,646.36	1,174.52
HIGHLAND PARK CITY	796,071.18	24.69	-133,146.73	-14.32
HIGHWOOD CITY	95,786.68	18.86	8,488.58	9.95
INDIAN CREEK VILLAGE	2,776.42	10.28	2,776.42	.00
ISLAND LAKE VILLAGE-PART	20,363.41	14.60	8,326.30	69.17
KILDEER VILLAGE	7,704.94	11.98	5,519.85	252.49
LAKE BARRINGTON VILLAGE	6,794.30	19.58	342.13	5.30
LAKE BLUFF VILLAGE	73,516.62	14.68	29,478.46	66.94
LAKE FOREST CITY	340,572.85	21.77	-18,877.73	-5.25
LAKE VILLA VILLAGE	35,304.90	32.39	-12,887.85	-26.74
LAKE ZURICH VILLAGE	106,774.73	19.55	5,536.80	5.47
LIBERTYVILLE VILLAGE	368,604.64	31.55	-128,310.19	-25.82
LINCOLNSHIRE VILLAGE	27,320.93	10.79	24,731.89	955.25
LINDENHURST VILLAGE	56,055.54	17.85	8,542.61	17.98
LONG GROVE VILLAGE	28,343.54	23.70	-3,746.43	-11.67
METTANA VILLAGE	2,930.67	10.28	2,930.67	.00
MUNDELEIN VILLAGE	344,025.14	21.64	-17,334.90	-4.73
NO BARRINGTON VILLAGE	15,736.02	11.15	13,282.76	541.43
NORTH CHICAGO CITY	565,105.46	11.95	407,157.47	257.78
OLD MILL CREEK VILLAGE	1,686.42	10.28	1,686.42	.00
PARK CITY	60,449.43	21.17	-1,733.20	-2.79
RIVERWOODS VILLAGE	17,736.06	11.32	14,523.29	445.12
ROUND LAKE BEACH VILLAGE	91,478.35	16.00	26,098.10	39.92
ROUND LAKE HEIGHTS VILLAGE	15,127.32	13.22	8,400.31	124.87
ROUND LAKE PARK VILLAGE	36,825.81	11.70	27,910.50	414.34



CITIZENS FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT

2561 North Clark Street • Chicago, Illinois 60614 • (312) 248-1984

RECEIVED
VILLAGE MANAGER

APR 16 1973

BARRINGTON, ILLINOIS

Barrington Village Hall
206 S. Hough Street
Barrington, Illinois 60010
Attn: Dean H. Maiben

April 13, 1973

Dear Sir:

Citizens for a Better Environment is requesting permission to solicit, in your community, support through volunteers and small donations and to inform the people about our organization.

C.B.E. is a non-profit, tax exempt fund raising/research organization. The funds are used to subsidize local projects and organizations working to effectively improve and protect our environment.

We are planning a charitable solicitation drive in your community and request your permission to distribute literature, answer questions, and accept support through donations and volunteers. C.B.E. has received the cooperation of the village Boards throughout forty suburban communities in conducting solicitation drives. If necessary, we will furnish this list upon request.

If you feel it is imperative that the Board of Trustees vote on our request for permission at their next meeting, please inform me as to the date of the meeting and I will be present. Enclosed for your information is a copy of our state charter, our 501 (c) (3) tax exempt status, some of our press, and other related materials.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Roy Albano
Elgin Office Manager

RA/ke
Enclosures

Certified Copy of Corporate Resolutions and List of Officers

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the duly elected, qualified and acting Secretary of The Village of Barrington, a corporation organized and existing under laws of the State of Illinois, that as such Secretary I am the custodian of the records and seal of said Corporation, and that at a duly called and regularly held meeting of the Board of Directors of said Corporation, convened and held in accordance with law and the By-Laws of said Corporation and pursuant to requisite notice, on the 23rd day of April, A. D. 1973, at which meeting a majority and quorum of the Board of Directors of said Corporation was present throughout, the following resolution was adopted by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Board of Directors of said Corporation, to-wit:

BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That the BARRINGTON STATE BANK, Barrington, Illinois, be and it is hereby designated a depository in which the funds of this Corporation may be deposited by its officers, agents and employees and that they be and each of them is hereby authorized to endorse in the name of this Corporation, for deposit and collection in and with said Bank, checks, drafts, notes, negotiable instruments, orders for the payment of money and other like obligations issued or drawn to or owned by this Corporation, and that such endorsement may be by the written or stamped endorsement of this Corporation without designation of the party making such endorsement.
2. That said BARRINGTON STATE BANK, be and it is hereby authorized to honor, pay out of the funds of this Corporation, on deposit with it from time to time, and charge to any account or accounts of this Corporation with said Bank all checks, drafts and orders for the payment of money drawn upon said depository and signed in the name of this Corporation by its Treasurer or Manager and countersigned by its _____ or _____, without inquiry into the circumstances of their issue or disposition of the proceeds thereof whether said checks are payable to cash, bearer or to the order of this Corporation or to any third party or to the order of or endorsed or negotiated by any officer or person signing or countersigning them or to any other officer of this Corporation in either his individual or official capacity and whether they are deposited to the individual credit of any officer or person signing them or of any of the other officers or persons or not.
3. That the N/A and _____ or _____ and _____ or _____ of this Corporation be and they are hereby authorized from time to time to borrow money from said Bank in such amount or amounts for such length of time and at such rate of interest and upon such other terms and conditions as said officer or officers may deem expedient, and to evidence the indebtedness thereby created by executing and delivering in the name and on behalf of this Corporation promissory notes, judgment promissory notes and other like obligations of this Corporation, signed in the name of this Corporation by the officer or officers designated above; and to assign, deliver, mortgage and pledge as security for the payment of said notes and other obligations any property or security now or hereafter owned or held by this Corporation, and to give and grant to said Bank and its assigns full power and authority to sell at public or private sale any property or security owned or held by this Corporation so pledged with said Bank, without demand, notice or advertising, whether prior or subsequent to the maturity of said note or notes, which note or notes or other obligations shall be in such form and shall contain such other and further terms, provisions and conditions as may be deemed proper by such officer or officers; and said officer or officers is or are further authorized to discount with said Bank the notes and other obligations issued to or owned by this Corporation.
4. That the said Bank shall not be in any manner whatsoever, responsible for or required to see to the application of any of the funds of this Corporation deposited with it, or paid by, or borrowed, or withdrawn from it pursuant hereto, that the officers hereinabove named or any one of them be and each of them is hereby authorized:
 - A. To endorse for negotiation, negotiate and receive the proceeds of any negotiable instrument payable to or belonging to this Corporation and to sell, assign, transfer, set over and deliver, and to endorse for sale or for transfer any and all securities, registered bonds, stock certificates, interim, participation and other certificates belonging to or standing in the name of this Corporation, and
 - B. To withdraw, receive and receipt for and withdraw upon trust receipt on the responsibility of and at the risk of this Corporation, and to sign orders for the withdrawal, substitution or exchange of any and all collateral securities, and of property pledged, assigned, transferred or otherwise held for its account or as collateral to any note or notes executed pursuant hereto, which withdrawal, substitution or exchange may be made by the bearer of any receipt or request so signed, and
 - C. To waive presentment, demand, protest and notice of dishonor or protest of any note, draft, bill of exchange or order for the payment of money drawn to the order of or belonging to this Corporation, to give instructions in regard to the handling or delivery of any negotiable or non-negotiable papers or documents involved in any of said transactions, and to act for this Corporation in the transaction of all its business or for its account with said BARRINGTON STATE BANK, Barrington, Illinois.
5. That this Corporation shall be bound to the said Bank's Rules and Regulations governing accounts and other business that this Corporation may transact with the said Bank.
6. Be it further resolved that this Resolution shall be in full force and effect and binding upon this Corporation until it shall have been rescinded and written notice of such rescission under the corporate seal of this Corporation shall have been delivered to said Bank.

And I do further certify that the above Resolution has not been in any wise altered, amended or rescinded and is now in full force and effect, and further certify that the following persons have been elected, have qualified and are now acting as officers of said Corporation in the capacity set forth under their respective names, and that the true and genuine signatures of the officers of said Corporation hereinabove authorized to sign and endorse checks, drafts, etc., and borrow money in the name of this Corporation from said Bank appear on the accompanying signature cards, each one of which have been identified by the signature of the Secretary of said Corporation.

PRESIDENT F. J. Voss

SECRETARY Karol Hartmann

VILLAGE PRESIDENT _____
Village Manager Dean H. Maiben

TREASURER B. J. Zelsdorf

(SEAL)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the corporate seal of said Corporation this _____ day of _____, A. D. 19____.
Secretary.

Dois for Lake Agenda JFW

Lake County Municipal League

ASSOCIATION OF MAYORS

February 26, 1973

To: Village of Barrington

Membership Dues 1973-74

A population of 25,000 or more for a municipality	\$100 per year
A population of 10,000-25,000 for a municipality	75 per year
A population of 5,000-10,000 for a municipality	50 per year
A population of under 5,000 for a municipality	25 per year

Mailing address: 225 Circle Drive
Tower Lakes, Barrington
Illinois 60010

Dear Mayor:

The Lake County Municipal League continues to be a very active, viable association providing worthwhile services to the 48 municipalities of our County. I am sure you are aware of the many activities of the League in behalf of its member municipalities in the past year and I hope you agree that the League's actions have been of real service to all of us.

In view of the continued need for cooperative action among the municipalities of Lake County, the Board of Directors of the League thought you would consider it worthwhile at this time to renew your membership in this Association of Mayors.

We, therefore, are sending you the attached bill for membership in the League for the fiscal year 1973-74.

We hope that you will continue to actively support the League not only with payment of dues but with your attendance at meetings which are now being held every two months. We would appreciate your views at all times and would be interested in knowing whether there is any particular project you would want the League to undertake.

Sincerely,

Cyril C. Wagner
Cyril C. Wagner, Secretary
Lake County Municipal League

CCW:vr
Enclosure

ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT FUND
100 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606

OMITTED SERVICE CREDIT RESOLUTION
(See Instructions on Reverse Side)

WHEREAS, the following employee should have been but was not reported to the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund as a participating employee for the period and earnings shown below;

RESOLVED, that it is the finding of this President and Board of Trustees that:
(Name of Governing Body)

1. The employee was employed in a position normally requiring 600 hours or more of service in a year, during the period shown below;
2. None of the service of the employee during this period was in a probationary position of four months or less;
3. The employee is currently employed in a participating position; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this governing body recognizes that it is obligated for the municipality and employee contributions, with interest, payable to the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund, with the right to collect employee contributions, without interest, from the employee; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the authorized agent be and hereby is authorized and directed to file a certified copy of this resolution and all other pertinent forms and documents with the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund.

Employee Name Darlene F. Sass				Social Security Number 360 05 2029	
Employee Address 534 Lill Street		Street or Route Barrington		State Illinois	Zip Code 60010
Earnings Paid In Month Of:	19 <u>70</u>	19 <u>71</u>	19 <u>72</u>	19 ____	19 ____
January		134.40	177.60		
February		146.93	177.60		
March		201.60	289.11		
Quarterly Total		482.93	644.31		
April		184.80	222.00		
May		141.12	179.28		
June		185.93	181.48		
Quarterly Total		511.85	582.76		
July		134.40	183.00		
August		309.00	91.50		
September		178.64	257.23		
Quarterly Total		622.04	531.73		
October		310.80	183.00		
November	100.80	88.80	183.00		
December	99.68	324.12	251.63		
Quarterly Total	200.48	723.72	617.63		
Grand Total	200.48	2,340.54	2,376.43		

CERTIFICATION

I, Karol Hartmann, the Village Clerk of
(Name) (Clerk or Secretary)
Village of Barrington, do hereby certify that I am the
(Municipality or Participating Instrumentality)
keeper of its records and that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution duly adopted by its governing
dy at a meeting held on the ____ day of _____, 19 ____.

Municipality Number

(Signature of Clerk or Secretary)

BID TABULATION SHEET

Village of Barrington

PROJECT OR ITEM Methoxychlor and Benlate (Materials Proposal)

ESTIMATED COST _____ TYPE OF BIDS _____ BID OPENING DATE 3-26-73 TIME 2:00 P.M.

	CONTRACTOR	Clark Outdoor Spraying Co., Inc.	Standard Oil Div.	Michlen Chemical Corp.	Burdett's		
ADDRESS	200 Hayes Ave. LaGrange, Ill. 60525	20 N. Wacker Dr. Chicago, Ill. 60606	9045 Vincent Detroit, Mich. 48211	Swift Rd. & North Ave P.O. Box 186 Lombard, Ill. 60148			
BID BOND							
SPECIFICATIONS							
Benlate 150 - 2 lb. bags	\$ 7.65 lb. \$2,295.00 total	No bid	No bid	\$ 8.30 lb. \$2,490.00 total			
Methoxychlor 600 gallons	\$ 2.50 gal. \$1,500.00 total	\$ 2.65 gal. plus 6.00 drum charge \$1,590.00 total	\$ 2.75 gal. plus 7.00 drum deposit \$1,650.00 total	No bid			
TOTALS:							

CONTRACTOR'S

MS

SPECIFICATIONS

	<u>Berwyn-Stickney</u>		<u>Aver's Tree & Landscap.</u>		<u>Hendricksen Tree Experts</u>	
	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
Pruning:						
0 small trees	\$ 4.00	\$ 200.00	\$10.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 4.50	\$ 225.00
50 medium trees	12.00	600.00	10.00	500.00	17.00	850.00
150 large trees	14.00	2,100.00	20.00	3,000.00	18.00	2,700.00
120 ex-large trees	16.00	<u>1,920.00</u>	25.00	<u>3,000.00</u>	19.00	<u>2,280.00</u>
Total		\$4,820.00		\$7,000.00		\$6,055.00
Removal:						
5 small trees	\$30.00	\$ 150.00	\$30.00	\$ 150.00	\$13.00	\$ 65.00
25 medium trees	50.00	1,250.00	50.00	1,250.00	45.00	1,125.00
45 large trees	60.00	2,700.00	70.00	3,150.00	85.00	3,825.00
15 ex-large trees	90.00	<u>1,350.00</u>	75.00	<u>1,125.00</u>	130.00	<u>1,950.00</u>
Total		\$5,450.00		\$5,675.00		\$6,965.00
Stump Removal:						
3,000 (approximately)	\$.49 Dia.	\$1,470.00	\$ 7.00 per	\$21,000.00	\$.45 Dia.	\$1,350.00
	inch		stump	grind. only	inch-measured at	
					ground level	
Schedule:						
Labor	\$ 8.00 hr.		\$10.50 per man		\$ 6.75 hr.	
Foreman	10.00 hr.		10.50 hr.		7.60 hr.	
Snorkel Truck or Aerial Plat. (name & height range)	12.00 hr.		30.00 hr.		12.00 hr.	
Dump truck	8.00 hr.		High ranger - 52 ft.		2-Hiranger 52' 1-Hireach 51'	
Prentice Clam Crane or equal	12.00 hr.		20.00 hr.		4.90 hr.	
Chipper	5.00 hr.		30.00 hr.		12.00 hr.	
(size & make)	Asplundh - 16 inch		20.00 hr.		4.50 hr.	
			Asplundh - 16 inch		3-Asplundh - 16 inch	
Commence: (after execution)	immediately		5 days		10 days	
Complete: " "	30 days	\$ 11,795	40 days	\$ 33,796	90 days	14,417.25

SPECIFICATIONS

	<u>Barr. Nurseries</u>		<u>Davey Tree Experts</u>	
	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
Pruning:				
50 small trees	\$ 5.50	\$ 275.00	\$ 4.91	\$ 395.50
50 medium trees	15.00	750.00	18.90	945.00
150 large trees	18.00	2,700.00	33.42	5,013.00
120 ex-large trees	26.00	<u>3,120.00</u>	49.44	<u>5,932.80</u>
Total		\$6,845.00		\$12,286.30
Removal:				
5 small trees	\$17.50	\$ 87.50	\$16.80	\$ 84.00
25 medium trees	36.00	900.00	63.00	1,575.00
45 large trees	93.75	4,218.75	169.00	7,605.00
15 ex-large trees	216.00	<u>3,240.00</u>	288.00	<u>4,320.00</u>
Total		\$8,446.25		\$13,584.00
Stump Removal:				
3,000 (approximately)	\$.68 Dia.	\$2,040.00	\$ 1.30 Dia.	\$ 3,900.00
	inch		inch	
Schedule:				
Labor	\$ 8.00 hr.		\$ 9.00 hr.	
Foreman	9.00 hr.		9.00 hr.	
Snorkel Truck or Aerial Plat. (name & height range)	9.00 hr.		7.85 hr.	
Dump truck	3.00 hr.		3.60 hr.	
Prentice Clam Crane or equal	6.00 hr.		5.90 hr.	
Chipper	6.00 hr.		3.85 hr.	
(size & make)	Asplundh-9 inch		Chipmore - 12 inch	
Commence: (after execution)	20 days		14 days	
Complete: " "	90 days		90 days	

17,372.25

29,809.00

FOR YOUR INFORMATION

HOUSE BILL 522 IS AN ATTEMPT TO CHANGE THE DISTRIBUTION OF LOCAL SALES TAX. Several complex formulas are set forth, all of which would reduce the Village of Barrington take from \$203,000 to \$125,000 annually and cut of future growth of that revenue source. At the same time, the surrounding BACOG villages would gain only between \$75,000 and \$40,000, depending on the formula used. Therefore considerable money would leave the area, and in fact, the concentrating business and density in Barrington costs more money to provide service.

Attached is a letter from Representative Mugalian which hedges his position. Perhaps we should attempt to gain BACOG support for not changing the law, based on the fact that much money will leave the area, and on service Barrington would have to cut would be its substantial contribution to BACOG.

AN ATTACHED ARTICLE DISCUSSES A HOUSE BILL WHICH WOULD MAKE IT ILLEGAL FOR THE TOLLWAY TO SPEND EXCESS REVENUES TO EXPAND THE TOLLWAY SYSTEM. If we want the Fox Valley Freeway to happen in the foreseeable future, we should watch that bill carefully.

ATTACHED IS AN ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE NUCLEAR POWER SEMINAR TO BE HELD AT HARPER COLLEGE, APRIL 19, 1973 at 7:30 P.M.

ADMINISTRATIVE ABSTRACTS

THE WATER RECLAMATION PLANT DEDICATION WILL BE SUNDAY, APRIL 15, 1973. A program is attached along with a copy of a handout.

COPIES OF THE NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT ON FLOOD PLAINS AND STORM FLOODING ARE ATTACHED. They suggest united action which will certainly benefit the BACOG area. The Staff will shortly produce proposed ordinances to implement all local action suggested; however, the report suggests that we should support State and Federal action also.

WE'VE ASKED BARRINGTON HILLS TO CONSIDER PAYING US \$10,000 RATHER THAN \$2,500 FOR POLICE SERVICES. The reason is twofold - to offset rising costs in the communication area as well as to jar them into giving serious consideration to a BACOG Police Service Report prepared by I.L.E.C. which will suggest Federal money is available for combining some functions which will produce efficiency, so we intend to hold on with tenacity, in order to get the Federal money.

YOU SHOULD KNOW

Board Meetings

4/23/73..... Regular Meeting Village Hall 8:00 P.M.
5/14/73 Regular Meeting Village Hall 8:00 P.M.
* 5/29/73 Regular Meeting Village Hall 8:00 P.M.

* May 28, 1973 is a Legal Holiday. Regular meeting will be held Tuesday, May 29, 1973.

Plan Commission

4/18/73 First National Bank & Trust
of Barrington
Commercial Office Building
Zoning Amendment & Variation
Docket No. PC 18-73 N-1 .. Village Hall 8:00 P.M.

RICHARD A. MUGALIAN
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
SECOND DISTRICT



105 WEST ADAMS STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603
312 FR2-0900

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 9, 1973

VILLAGE MANAGER

APR 12 1973

BARRINGTON, ILLINOIS

Hon. F. J. Voss, Mayor
Village of Barrington
206 South Hough Street
Barrington, Illinois 60010

Dear Mayor Voss:

House Bills 512 and 522 were reassigned to "Counties and Townships". My present inclination is to oppose both bills if they pass committee, although I do my best to listen carefully to floor debate before casting my vote.

I welcome the views of constituents including local governments.

Very truly yours,

Richard A. Mugalian
RICHARD A. MUGALIAN

RAM:kc

297

☆

The bill, sponsored by Rep. Harold Katz [D., Glencoe], would prohibit the use of tolls from the present system and the East-West Tollway extension being built to Rock Falls to construct more tollways.

Katz said later he will try again to get the bill approved at the end of the month.

THE STATE OF Illinois will have to pay its bills promptly under the terms of a bill passed 122 to 14, by the House. It requires state agencies to pay bills within 30 days of receipt.

A BILL TO permit the Chicago Board of Education and the Chicago Teachers Union to negotiate contracts of up to three years in length was in-

troduced in the House by Rep. Arthur L. Berman [D., Chicago].

Existing law limits contracts to 12 months, Berman said. Longer contracts would "bring stability to collective bargaining and planning," he said.

WOMEN ADMITTED to Illinois hospitals could routinely undergo a pap smear test for uterine cancer under a bill passed by the House without dissent. Women could refuse the test, however.

A BILL to abolish the sales tax on food and prescription drugs was sent to a subcommittee of the House Revenue Committee. It would provide an annual tax break of about \$13 for each Illinoisan and cost

the state about \$180 million a year in lost revenue.

REP. BRUCE DOUGLAS [D., Chicago] charged that the R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. has defrauded Illinois of millions of dollars in tax revenues by selling Winchester "cigaretts" as tax-exempt "Little cigars."

Douglas asserted that the so-called little cigars actually are cigarettes because they are wrapped in alpha cellulose, which is paper. Illinois tax law defines cigaret as tobacco wrapped in paper and cigars as tobacco wrapped in tobacco. A spokesman for Reynolds called Douglas' charge entirely false.

A BILL THAT WOULD turn the Illinois tollway system into freeways when the bonds for their construction are retired received a tie vote in the House Transportation Committee and will remain there until the sponsor decides to call it again.

NUCLEAR POWER: ²³⁹

Safe or Unsafe ?

DISCUSS THIS TIMELY ISSUE

AT 7:30 P.M., APRIL 19

AT A PUBLIC MEETING

ROOM 242
Harper BUILDING A *College*

(AT ALGONQUIN AND ROSELLE ROADS)

IN PALATINE

HEAR BOTH VIEWPOINTS FROM A PANEL OF EXPERTS:

The Honorable ABNER MIKVA, Panel Moderator, Chairman of Illinois Commission of Ethics and Former State representative from District 2

PETER S. VAN NORT, Project Manager, Project Management Corp., an umbrella organization of which Commonwealth Edison is a member

ELMER LEWIS, Professor of Nuclear Engineering at Northwestern University and consultant to Commonwealth Edison

JACOB DUMELLE, Member, Illinois Pollution Control Board

RICHARD S. LEWIS, Editor of the Bulletin of Atomic Scientists and author of The Nuclear Power Rebellion

COME, LISTEN, PARTICIPATE

SPONSORS: PEP - Pollution and Environmental Problems
National Consumer Union
Palatine Environmental Control Board
Inverness Garden Club
Palatine League of Women Voters
Arlington Heights League of Women Voters
Lake Michigan Federation

For more information contact:
Nancy Flowers
Lake Michigan Federation
53 West Jackson, Rm. 1002
Chicago, IL 60604
312-427-5121

PLEASE POST THIS NOTICE

NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS
PLANNING COMMISSIONMATTHEW L. ROCKWELL
Executive DirectorFBI
RECEIVED
MARCH 29 1973
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

March 29, 1973

TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS
OF NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS:

This is a report of your viewpoints on the problems of flooding and storm water drainage, and how to approach their solution. The ideas contained in this report were generated by questionnaires sent to you. These ideas were discussed at the Storm and Flood Water Conference on March 10. More than 500 public officials attended this meeting. The tables ranking the importance of these ideas are the result of votes taken at the Conference.

Today, at the same time the report is being mailed to you, it is being distributed in Springfield to the members of the General Assembly. It is being sent to your Senators and Congressmen in Washington, and to the heads of all the concerned federal and state agencies.

The report is less than a plan for solving the problems, for lack of technical evaluations and budgetary figures. The report does, however, help show the kinds of solutions we can agree to act upon.

Implementation of these ideas is in your hands. The Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission can only offer advice, and a forum in which we can plan together. Some of the suggestions which you made can be acted upon by you this year, without waiting for further outside help. Indeed, some of you have already taken action since the Conference to apply for federal flood insurance, to purchase flood plain land for open space, to strengthen local flood plain zoning ordinances and building codes, to require on-site retention.

No further questionnaires on these topics are planned by NIPC. Your comments, though, are always welcome. NIPC, in turn, will report to you any further progress toward solving our flood and drainage problems.

Your participation in this effort has been very encouraging.

Fred M. Dumke, NIPC President
Mayor of Oak Lawn

FMD:nt

STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE: A report
to conference participants and concerned public officials and
citizens.

The Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission sponsored this
conference as one in a series of efforts to try to solve the prob-
lems of overbank flooding and inadequate drainage in the six
northeastern counties of Illinois.

March 10, 1973

NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS PLANNING COMMISSION

400 W. Madison Street

Chicago, Illinois

THE STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE -- March 10, 1973

Action on flood and drainage problems cannot wait until the Federal Fiscal Year 1974, when the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission will receive funds needed to develop a Regional Storm and Flood Water Plan. After the 1972 summer floods, Commissioners of NIPC sought ways to speed the processes that will lead to flood hazard reduction. A decision was made to try to enlist the elected public officials of the region in participatory planning to meet this need.

In January, NIPC staff held discussion meetings with a cross section of the region's flood victims. Technical experts in storm drainage systems were polled by telephone. A summary of the ideas of the experts and victims was sent to more than 3000 elected public officials of the region. A questionnaire asked each of these officials to identify community flooding and drainage problems, obstacles to the solution of these problems, and the people or agencies who should act to remove obstacles and solve problems.

Responses to that questionnaire were summarized as a list of 37 action statements, using actual words and phrases sent to NIPC by the public officials. This list was sent back to the 3000 officials, who were asked to agree or disagree with each of the statements, and to check those that they most wanted to act on or discuss at the regional Storm Water Conference on March 10, 1973.

The highest scoring statements in the second questionnaire were summarized and phrased as seven general recommendations. Participants at the Conference were assigned to discussion groups of ten or less. The seven general recommendations were discussed in each of these groups. Then, each participant was asked to rank the seven recommendations in order of importance.

More specific policy recommendations were also presented to Conference participants as examples of the kinds of actions that might be undertaken by government, developers, and property owners, in efforts to implement the general recommendations. Each participant scored these specific policy recommendations on a scale that included: STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, UNDECIDED, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE.

Approximately 550 persons attended the Regional Storm and Flood Water Conference. Seventy-six percent of the registered participants were public officials -- half of these were elected to their posts. Most of the other participants were technicians and professionals associated with either flood control or local governmental administration.

HOW PARTICIPANTS RANKED THE GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Scoring sheets were turned in by 412 participants. These sheets were separately tabulated in four categories according to identifications by participants on each sheet telling whether they were: (1) State Legislators, (2) Elected Local Officials, (3) Other Local Officials, or (4) Other.

The conference participants, as a whole, ranked the general recommendations in this order:

- 1st (Rec. A) Water must be held as long as possible close to where it falls.
- 2nd (Rec. F) State legislation is needed to remedy organizational deficiencies.
- 3rd (Rec. G) Funding for flood hazard reduction must be increased.
- 4th (Rec. E) Keep buildings out of flood hazardous areas; information about present and future flooding must be provided for property owners and water management authorities.
- 5th (Rec. D) The storage capacity of flood plains must be protected.
- 6th (Rec. B) Deficiencies in storm sewer and man-made channel systems must be corrected.
- 7th (Rec. C) A continuous program is needed to prevent natural and man-made obstructions in stream channels.

The complete wording of the general recommendations and the scores assigned these recommendations by participants are on the following page.

REGIONAL STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE

SCORES AND RANKINGS BY PARTICIPANT CATEGORY, FOR GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

	16 State <u>Legislators</u>	140 Elected Local <u>Officials</u>	159 Other Local <u>Officials</u>	97 <u>Others</u>	412 All <u>Participants</u>
A. Controlling runoff is an essential step in flood and storm water management. Water must be held as long as possible close to where it falls.	4th (58)	1st (434)	1st (457)	1st (301)	1st (1250)
B. Drainage problems are aggravated by deficiencies in storm sewer and man-made channel systems. These deficiencies must be corrected.	6th (87)	5th (601)	6th (728)	6th (452)	6th (1868)
C. Natural and man-made obstructions in stream channels inhibit the flow of storm water and aggravate flooding. A continuous program is needed to prevent illegal obstructions and to retain channel capacities.	7th (89)	7th (621)	7th (734)	7th (455)	7th (1899)
D. In a landscape as flat as ours, flood water must periodically occupy flood plain areas. The storage capacity of flood plains must be protected.	5th (61)	6th (608)	3rd (620)	2nd (341)	5th (1630)
E. Keeping buildings out of flood hazardous areas helps to protect both the flood plain and the property owner. Accurate information about present and future flooding must be provided for the use of property owners and water management authorities.	1st (42)	3rd (544)	5th (672)	3rd (366)	4th (1624)
F. Existing governmental authority and responsibility for storm and flood water planning and action is overly fragmented. Agency roles are poorly defined and effective action is inhibited. State legislation is needed to remedy these organizational deficiencies.	2nd (54)	4th (583)	2nd (601)	4th (382)	2nd(tie) (1620)
G. Flood control funding is inadequate, even for planning and administering flood control program, let alone for capital works improvements. Funding for flood hazard reduction must be increased.	3rd (57)	2nd (540)	4th (629)	5th (394)	2nd(tie) (1620)

COMMENTS ON PARTICIPANT RANKING OF GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

By a wide margin, controlling runoff and holding water where it falls was ranked first by participants as a whole. Three of the four categories of participant gave this recommendation (A) first ranking. However, among State Legislators, the detention of floodwaters ranked fourth, behind (1) keeping buildings out of flood hazardous areas, (2) correcting organizational deficiencies through state legislation, and (3) providing more funding for flood control.

There is very little difference in the total scores of the recommendations ranked second, third, fourth and fifth (F-G-E-D). Better organization, more money, keeping buildings out of the flood plain, and keeping floodplains from being filled; each of these is seen as an important ingredient of a solution to the flooding and drainage problems of the region.

Inadequate sewers and drainage channels, and periodic obstruction of channels by natural and man-made objects were problems frequently cited in the pre-conference questionnaires. The fact that recommendations concerning these problems were ranked sixth and seventh by conference participants should not be construed as meaning that these problems are unimportant. Among the seven most important storm and flood water actions recommended, participants would deal with these two (B and C) after the others if a priority schedule for action were needed.

Not reflected in the scorings and rankings is the opinion of some participants that some of these seven recommendations are interrelated, and ranking them gives a false impression that these recommendations can be carried out singly.

The relative rankings and the relationship among the rankings of the four categories of participant can be seen graphically on the following page. On this graph, the scores of each of the categories has been equated to a common graphic scale so that the strength of opinions about the recommendations can be compared.

A. Controlling runoff is an essential step in flood and storm water management. Water must be held as long as possible close to where it falls.

B. Drainage problems are aggravated by deficiencies in storm sewer and man-made channel systems. These deficiencies must be corrected.

C. Natural and man-made obstructions in stream channels inhibit the flow of storm water and aggravate flooding. A continuous program is needed to prevent illegal obstructions and to retain channel capacities.

D. In a landscape as flat as ours, flood water must periodically occupy flood plain areas. The storage capacity of flood plains must be protected.

E. Keeping buildings out of flood hazardous areas helps to protect both the flood plain and the property owner. Accurate information about present and future flooding must be provided for the use of property owners and water management authorities.

F. Existing governmental authority and responsibility for storm and flood water planning and action is overly fragmented. Agency roles are poorly defined and effective action is inhibited. State legislation is needed to remedy these organizational deficiencies.

G. Flood control funding is inadequate, even for planning and administering flood control program, let alone for capital works improvements. Funding for flood hazard reduction must be increased.

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

State Legislators
Elected Local Officials
Other Local Officials
Others
All Participants

HOW PARTICIPANTS EVALUATED THE SPECIFIC, EXAMPLE RECOMMENDATIONS

Thirty recommendations of a more specific nature were discussed by participants as examples of the kinds of actions that might be needed to implement the more general recommendations ranked at the beginning of the Conference. These statements were not ranked in relation to one another, but were evaluated individually on a scale ranging from "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree." Some participants omitted evaluations on some of the statements; thus, the total of the evaluations varies for each of the thirty statements.

The graph on the following page gives a quick overview of where there was a large clustering of opinion about a statement, or where there was a strong inclination toward agreement or disagreement. The wording of the statements can be found in the tabular summaries following the graph.


The statements are identified by an alphabet letter and a numeral. The letter indicates which of the general recommendations this more specific recommendation is related to. No specific recommendations were discussed for the general recommendations concerning governmental organization (F) and funding (G).

DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANT EVALUATIONS OF SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

LEGEND



 Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree



REGIONAL STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS (Aggregate scores by all participants)

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Undecided</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
A-1. Local governments should be required to enact flood water retention regulations applicable to all new developments in accordance with minimum standards established by the state.	258	154	27	20	9
A-2. Local governments should without any pressure from the state adopt laws requiring on-site water retention by private developers on all new developments.	148	132	42	82	35
A-3. On-site water retention should be left to the initiative of private developers and encouraged through a system of tax and other public incentives.	9	22	25	174	213
A-4. Private developers should pay the cost of on-site retention resulting from new improvements.	237	179	24	11	5
A-5. Local park districts should share responsibilities with municipalities in developing retention facilities.	102	236	59	31	13
A-6. Federal and state aid is essential to help local governments finance water retention facilities.	197	186	33	23	3
B-1. The state should establish minimum standards relating to all local storm drainage systems.	156	173	50	47	14
B-2. Storm and sanitary sewer systems should be separated.	226	132	55	24	11
B-3. Local ordinances should prohibit the connection of roof drains and basement sump pumps to storm sewers.	126	102	69	83	59
B-4. Deficiencies in local drainage systems should be corrected at the expense of the people they serve and not with federal and state assistance.	28	105	72	178	74
B-5. Federal and/or state aid should be available to help finance local drainage improvements.	133	225	46	32	8

REGIONAL STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS (Aggregate scores by all participants)

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Undecided</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
C-1. The State should assume all responsibility for the maintenance of all stream channels.	44	62	74	219	43
C-2. Responsibility for the maintenance of stream channels should continue to be shared by the State and local governments, but the roles of each level of government should be more clearly defined.	137	236	28	27	5
C-3. All local government responsibilities for stream maintenance should be handled by the counties.	12	49	81	238	50
C-4. All local responsibilities for stream maintenance should be handled by special purpose agencies created for that purpose.	27	89	71	168	79
C-5. The present system of dividing local responsibilities for channel maintenance between counties, municipalities and special districts should be retained.	18	92	100	150	78
C-6. More adequate funding for channel maintenance is needed by all responsible governments.	147	230	43	16	2
D-1. Public funds should be used to buy and remove homes and other buildings subject to frequent heavy flood damages.	44	108	106	133	45
D-2. Counties and municipalities should be required to regulate the use of land in flood plains in accordance with minimum standards established by the State.	196	199	21	17	5
D-3. Counties and municipalities should on their own initiative enact flood plain regulations.	128	185	32	79	19
D-4. Any filling of flood plains should be prohibited unless equivalent storage capacity is provided.	221	167	32	18	1
D-5. A system of tax and other public incentives should be developed to encourage private landowners to use their land in a manner compatible with good flood plan management.	122	170	58	98	21

REGIONAL STORM AND FLOOD WATER PLANNING CONFERENCE

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS (Aggregate scores by all participants)

	<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Undecided</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
D-6. Priority in the use of Federal, State and local funds for park and other open space acquisitions should be given to acquiring flood plains.	142	212	53	26	3
D-7. A system of Federal flood insurance is the best way to meet the cost of flood damages on properties already located in flood prone areas.	59	144	99	94	39
E-1. Developers should be legally liable for any flood damages sustained by people to whom they sell property.	86	115	106	110	20
E-2. Local authorities who control land use should be legally responsible for identifying flood hazards associated with all properties within their jurisdiction and they should be held legally liable for any flood damages resulting from negligence.	58	115	66	156	39
E-3. A system for identifying the flooding hazards associated with all parcels of property should be devised and that information should by law be recorded on all property deeds.	211	192	48	13	5
E-4. The best way to alert the public to flooding problems is for financial institutions to stop mortgaging new buildings in flood hazard areas.	98	182	69	70	9
E-5. The state should take the leadership in developing and funding a continuing program to provide current information on stream flows and the flood limits associated with 25, 50 and 100 year storms in cooperation with federal and local agencies.	140	193	50	47	9
E-6. In the absence of more complete surveys, available information on historical floods of record should be officially recognized as an acceptable basis for storm water management activities.	107	263	44	19	0

ADDITIONAL LITERATURE AVAILABLE

Three publications of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers which were promised to Conference participants did not arrive as scheduled. They may be obtained by writing to the District Engineer, U.S. Army Engineer District, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604. These items are free. They are:

"Background Sheet, Section 205, 1948 Flood Control Act," describes procedures whereby local communities can request federal studies and construction projects for small flood control works totaling less than \$1 million in federal costs.

"North Central Division, Flood Plain Management Services, Introductory Fact Sheet," describes how local communities can request studies which identify the amount and geographical extent of potential future floods. Provides an intelligent basis for local communities to control development in flood plains.

"Guidelines for Reducing Flood Damages"

THOSE WHO HELPED

Attendance at the Storm Water Conference was too large for NIPC staff to provide one facilitator for each discussion group of nine participants. To solve this problem, time was contributed by the following 33 volunteers:

John Adamson, Village Administrator, Carol Stream
Reed Carlson, Village Manager, Villa Park
Roy Carlson, Principal Civil Engineer, Metropolitan Sanitary District
Mrs. C. Susan Cork, DuPage County Regional Planning Commission
Barbara Crosser, City of Joliet
Ann Duker, State of Illinois, Department of Local Government Affairs
John Eckenroad, Village Manager, Northfield
Gerald Estes, Lake County Regional Planning Commission
Arnold Gassman, Department of City Planning, Aurora
Tom Hooper, City of Joliet
Tom Houle, Lake County Regional Planning Commission
Don Klein, Barrington Area Council of Governments
Richard Lanyon, Engineer of Flood Control, Metropolitan Sanitary District
Jim Lincoln, Village Manager, Hinsdale
Clarence Mack, Northeastern Illinois Natural Resources Service Center
Tom Mahan, City of Joliet
Elber Maiden, Lake County Regional Planning Commission
Harry Means, Soil Conservation Service
John Morris, Consulting Engineer
Graham Murchie, Senior Planner, State of Illinois Department of Local Government Affairs
Ronnie Murphie, Soil Conservation Service
Forrest Neil, Chief Engineer, Metropolitan Sanitary District
Kernel Parikh, Lake County Regional Planning Commission
Tom Pascarella, Village of Homewood
Richard Paton, DuPage County Regional Planning Commission
John Quay, McHenry County Regional Planning Commission
Michael F. Richardson, City Planner, Village of DesPlaines
Roland Schlegel, Executive Director, Cook County Council of Governments
James Schnute, Administrative Assistant, Village of LaGrange
Robert VanDusen, Village Manager, Glenview
Max Whitman, Village Engineer, Winnetka
Robert A. Wiedaw, Village Manager, Northbrook
Dick Young, Environmental Director, Kane County.

April 5, 1973

John C. Ebel
212 N. Hager Ave.
Barrington, Ill.

Mr. Maiben
Village Manager
Barrington, Ill.

Dear Mr. Maiben:

Thank you for your time last Wed. April 4th. We discussed the proposed re-
location of the Chicago and North Western train storage yard from it's
present location to an area somewhere between the extensions of Cumnor and
Carl avenues, (approx. opposite the water treatment plant)


I live at 212 N. Hager Ave. and this proposed relocation, as I understand it,
would put these trains within one block of my home. I am greatly concerned
about this for two reasons. 1. Noise Pollution. 2. Air Pollution. (these
Diesels run all night during winter and cool weather months) I am in favor
of the basic idea of relocation for solving some of towns traffic problems,
however I certainly do not want to bear the brunt of noise and smell from
Diesels nor do my neighbors.

I have explored the area in discussion and believe the storage area should be
moved farther west. Several advantages in my opinion are apparent. 1. Old
route 14 presents a very good access road for service. 2. The terrain is
more level. 3. There are no resident dwellings adjacent. 4. The area is
better ventilated. A move west of Cumnor Ave. allows for 1000 ft. of space
plus 500-600 ft. before the proposed Western Ave. right of way.

My concern is great about this relocation as I do not want to live with
Diesels breathing on me and my family and neighbors day and night. I would
implore you, Mayor Voss, and The Board of Trustees to seek every possible
way to assure that this relocation will not prove to be an enviornmental
burden to the residents of the area.

It is quite possible that I do not have all the facts concerning this
problem. However, I base my appeal on facts available to me at this time.
I would greatly appreciate it if you would keep me posted on any further
developments concerning this matter.

Respectfully,



John C. Ebel

copies;

Mayor F. Voss , all Trustees

NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS PLANNING COMMISSION

MATTHEW L. ROCKWELL
Executive Director

FRED M. DUMKE
President

ROBERT F. OLSON
Vice President

WALDEMAR A. RAKOW
Secretary

FRANK W. CHESROW
Treasurer

February 8, 1973

TO ELECTED LOCAL OFFICIALS OF
NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS:

Your constituents suffered sewer backups in their basements or river overbank flooding, last summer and again this winter. High water will come again, worse than ever, unless action is taken now.

Your constituents look to you for the solution of these problems, unmindful of the obstacles you face of money and legislation, or of your need for action on the state and federal level.

I invite you, and all public officials of the region, to a conference on storm water the morning of Saturday, March 10. You will receive in advance of the conference information on the issues which are now being identified by groups of flood victims and technical experts. You will have an opportunity at the conference to discuss, in small groups, the appropriate actions at the federal, state and local level. These small group findings will be combined, in your presence, into a consensus of the entire conference.

Your views on storm water solution priorities will be presented, in the manner of your choice, to Congressmen and to members of the Illinois General Assembly from the six counties of northeastern Illinois and to the chiefs of appropriate agencies. The Governor and our State Senators are being invited to attend and participate in informal discussions which may continue into the afternoon.

The weight which your views will carry will depend, in part, upon how many of the region's elected public officials attend the conference. I know how busy your schedule is; but united, we could be much more effective with our time than we can hope to be individually. Advance registration will be appreciated. A reply card is enclosed. All costs other than lunch will be borne by the Commission.

The role of the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission in this conference will be as secretariat and facilitator of your policies.

Fred M. Dumke, NIPC President
Mayor of Oak Lawn

FMD:nt

NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS
PLANNING COMMISSION

MATTHEW L. ROCKWELL
Executive Director

FRED M. DUMKE
President

ROBERT F. OLSON
Vice President

WALDEMAR A. BAKOW
Secretary

FRANK W. CHESROW
Treasurer

February 8, 1973

TO LOCAL ELECTED OFFICIALS OF NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS:

Your advice is needed for the March 10 Storm (Flood) Water Conference. The viewpoints of flood victims and of technical experts in man-made storm drainage systems have already been studied. Both reports are enclosed.

We need the views of the political leadership. The enclosed questionnaire will provide this. Please mail it back to us by February 16.

You will receive one more mailing prior to the Conference. In it will be a report on the viewpoints gathered through this questionnaire, and a second questionnaire asking you to agree or disagree with the comments of your fellow elected officials.

By the morning of March 10 we should all have a good idea of what the issues are, and be prepared to discuss and rank a pre-written list of ingredients of the solution to storm water and floods.

We will meet in the Sheraton-O'Hare Motor Hotel, 6810 North Mannheim Road, Rosemont. Our schedule is:

- 9:30 a.m. Registration
- 9:45 a.m. Introductory remarks
- 10:00 a.m. Staff presentation
- 10:30 a.m. Group discussions
- 12:00 noon Combining group findings into conference consensus
- 12:30 p.m. Lunch; presentation of consensus to state and federal officials; their response

I hope to see you then.

Fred M. Dumke, President
Mayor of Oak Lawn

FMD:nt

FLOOD VICTIMS LIST THEIR PROBLEMS

Seventeen victims of the 1972 floods met with NIPC staff January 30 in Elmhurst. Participants came from six suburbs along two streams. They were divided into two groups for discussion purposes, each as geographically diverse as possible.

Each participant made his own list in response to the question, "What were the problems and unmet needs that you had before, during, and after the recent floods?" Items from the lists were posted in view of each group, discussed, and ranked by secret ballot. The process took 2 1/2 hours.

The staff later divided all posted items into four general categories: household problems, governmental planning and coordination problems, local drainage system problems, and the continued existence of flood causes. All posted items in their original wording, and the weighted scores of the voting, are listed below. Grouping of several comments into a single item was done by the participants.

Local Drainage

- 86 "Other communities' storm sewers dump into the creek." "Should regulate inflow into the creek." "How can the village be stopped from putting in another 72 inch storm sewer?" "No place for storm water to go when creek is full." "No regulation of storm water discharge." "Too many sewer by-passes into creek."
- 50 "Lack of retention basins." "Where do you put runoff from rains?" "No retention by municipality or county."
- 49 "Creeks and culverts not wide enough." "No maintenance of creek bed." "Creek needs to be cleaned and widened." "County and state neglect of ditches." "Bridges too small." "No enforcement of laws against dumping in creeks." "Conduits and earthen dam block creek."
- 43 "How do we stop filling and building in the flood plains?" "Construction on flood plain unrestricted."
- 4 "Manholes below flood level."
- 2 "Small sewers."
- 0 "No emergency electrical supply at sewer lift stations."
- 0 "Bad engineering of sewers allows overloading."
- 0 "Sewage treatment plant sludge dumped in creek."
- 0 "Inadequate sewage treatment facilities."

Governmental Planning and Coordination

One of the two groups combined all problems of fixing responsibility into a single item. One member of that group wrote afterward:

- (138) "Dissolve any state department or division which has any hand in flood control, or remove flood control jurisdiction from them, and set up a new agency to deal with flooding on a solution-oriented basis (not on the basis of finding a place to apply a pet engineering practice). At the same time, change state water law to regulate dumping of water. Law now allows anyone to drain his land indiscriminately."

- 28 "No regional plan."
20 "No agency responsible for non-navigable waterways."
18 "Community groups had not discussed their common concerns related to flooding."
17 "No state policy which would prevent flooding."
17 "No local emergency plan."
12 "Upstream municipalities disregard problems downstream."
8 "Village is not implementing its master plan."
8 "Not enough intergovernmental cooperation."
6 "Lack of master plan in Cook County."
3 "Police, firemen, and village officials unavailable when needed."
3 "The problem is not officially recognized by the village."
2 "Apathy of village officials."
2 "Who to turn to?"
0 "Flood control money not being used within two years, so must be returned."
0 "How do we keep this issue a focal point for discussion."

Problems of Flooded Households

- 23 "No fresh water." "Still no drinking water." (five months later) "Not enough emergency water -- too far to get it -- too much chlorine -- tanker froze up -- personnel unavailable." "No help from health department to test wells."
16 "No knowledge when we bought the house that it would flood." "A lack of knowledge of where to obtain materials and equipment, or even if it is available."
"A plan for action for what to do when the water starts rising."
11 "Repair bills total out of sight."
9 "No pumps available."
8 "No sandbags."
8 "No dikes."
7 "Infiltration of sanitary sewers caused basement flooding."
7 "Storm sewers backed up in houses."
6 "Availability of portable toilets." "Inability to use private septic systems."
4 "No flood insurance."
3 "Looters, gapers, and inadequate police protection."
2 "Electricity going off."
0 "No emergency housing." "Emergency housing."
0 "Not enough appliance repairmen."
0 "No help to collect debris."
0 "Inadequate warning." "How much rain are we going to get?" (predictions)
0 "How do you prevent water from entering the house?"
0 "How to prevent damage from water in basement."
0 "Emergency evacuation."
0 "What to do with abandoned home (mortgage payments)?"

The Causes Still Exist

- 32 "The causes remain uncorrected." "Cause of flooding still exists."

As Storm Drainage Experts See the Problem

Six engineers who specialize in sewer and water systems were interviewed by NIPC staff in February. Each was asked, "What factors contribute to problems of man-made storm drainage systems in the region or in your community?"

These experts agreed, generally, that the problems of overloaded storm drains and the problems of flooded streams are closely related. They blame, for a start, the topography of the region.

"The land here is so flat, and the slope of the channels so gradual, there must be a practical limit to removing water by widening, deepening, and straightening the streams."

"Local drainage doesn't work when you need it most because it does not have enough slope to the flood level of the streams. I have seen storm sewers deliver river water to the street. We design in terms of flow downhill to the normal level of the streams. This is a very widespread problem."

Undersized design of storm water pipes was mentioned often. "This is an economic problem. Sewers are not designed to handle everything. They may be designed for a 50-year storm, and would flood out during the 60-year storm."

Almost all mentioned the effect of urbanization in increasing the speed of runoff and therefore overloading storm drains and streams. "Encroachment on natural systems decreases the ability of the land to store water. This can be solved by land use regulation. Lack of forecasting of population and land use led to undersized design of sewers.

Not all were entirely in favor of the Metropolitan Sanitary District's retention rule, which requires all new developments of five acres or more to store, on site, the water from the 100-year storm. "Retention can be a very valuable tool, but some retention basins are unattractive, especially after use. There is also a hazard to children from an intermittent body of water. A lot of little puddles may be no better than one long flood plain, if regulations prohibit construction on it."

All said water must be stored in some manner, however. "There is no one solution to the problem. The local problem of where to send the water can be solved in some cases by lowering the stream bed; but once you start this it is hard to find a place to stop. Alternatively, you can dig a hole near the end of the sewer to provide both a place to put the water and a gradient to move it there. Then you have to pump it out after the storm; if it isn't lower than the natural stream you wouldn't have needed it in the first place. The principle benefit of basins is to allow the local system to work. But because each basin reduces the effective drainage area of a stream, they also help solve the problem downstream."

The need for coordination between agencies was mentioned several times. Highway construction which eliminated flood storage areas was cited. Inadequate maintenance and unskillful operation of the system was given as the reason for clogging, silting, and accumulation of debris.

"Whose responsibility is it to establish ground rules? People upstream uphold the existing drainage laws, which give the right to drain any land in the direction of natural flow. People downstream tend to demand new approaches, such as retention."

"Most of the problems can be traced to lack of planning rather than lack of technical capability."

Money and time were mentioned as obstacles. "Villages must construct a lot of pipes to connect to the Chicago Underflow System, and even then it will be years before the system is built." The problem of infiltration, and the difficulty of making old pipes tight, was listed under money problems.

YOUR ANSWER IS NEEDED

TO ELECTED PUBLIC OFFICIALS OF NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS:

After reading the enclosed information, please fill out this questionnaire and mail by February 16 to:
Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, 400 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois 60606. Your answers will
be an input to the March 10 agenda. Do not sign your name.

What were your community's most important problems (unmet needs) before, during, and after the recent floods?

What obstacles do you see that prevent these problems from being solved?


Who should be responsible for overcoming each of these obstacles?

INFORMAL MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD MAY 21, 1973

Committee Members: Trustee Schwemm, Chairman; Trustee Capulli; Trustee Sass, Jr.

Discussion concerning vehicle safety, a rate schedule, performance standards and the ordinance proposed by the Barrington Taxi Association was held, with Mr. Ben Covert, Barrington Village Taxi; Mr. Tom Smiddy, Tommy's Taxi; and Mrs. Mary Koffski, Astro Cab Company.

The Committee will meet in conference with the Village Manager, Village Attorney and the Chief of Police and will make a recommendation to the Village Board to be considered at the next regularly scheduled meeting, May 29, 1973.



Doris L. Belz
Deputy Village Clerk

D. MAIBEN
JFW

JOHN M. JACOBS
13 HAWTHORNE ROAD
BARRINGTON, ILLINOIS 60010
(312) 381-3770

April 18, 1973

Mr. Fred Voss, Village President
Village of Barrington
206 South Hough Street
Barrington, Illinois 60010

Dear Sir:

The purpose of this letter is to let you know some of the reasons behind the purposed ordinance now before you.

The first and foremost reason for the drafting of this ordinance is an attempt by myself to come up with a more workable law, in hopes to obtain better cab service in Barrington. In my extensive research many things came to light. First, upon talking to the cab owners at the time (Ben Colvert, Barrington Village Taxi Inc.; Thomas Smiddy, Tommy's Taxi; and Don Wallace, Babb's Taxi) it became evident how disunified and unorganized they were. Thus, with my guidance, the BARRINGTON TAXICAB ASSOCIATION was founded.

^{return}
The next step was to determine why service in Barrington has continually gone down hill for the past four years. A simple glance at the books provided an obvious answer that the existing companies were losing money. This brings me to the point in the ordinance, according to my source (International Taxicab Association), it costs \$0.2533 per mile to operate a cab at the breakeven point. The total revenue per mile for Barrington Cabs is \$0.25 (\$0.50 per mile less 50% split with driver). Simple math shows that the Barrington cabs are losing \$0.0033 for every mile they carry a passenger. Loses like this just can not continue.

The second part of the ordinance deals with the use of meters. Having meters installed in all the cabs will insure the people of Barrington fair and unified rates. At the present time, it is not unheard of for a passenger to pay from \$0.25 to \$0.50 difference for the same trip. This is not do to dishonest drivers, but because of discrepency in factory odomitors, human misjudgement about village limits and the lengths of trips. All this would be eliminated with the use of rate meters.

A third point of the ordinance is the license structure. Under the present structure, as many as ten different people can operate ten cabs in Barrington. Under my purposal only two companies would be allowed to operate, but they could operate as many cabs as demand calls for. The reasoning behind this is that, in my opinion,- based on past history of cabs in Barrington and figures provided by the village manager-there is enough business to support two companies. To split the business anymore would be unwise and put us right back were we are today with the companies losing money and providing poor service. It is also my recommendation to the Board that the two licenses be issued to Barrington Village Taxi and Tommy's Taxi. It has been these two companies that have served Barrington for the last four months at a loss because of a promise from the village manager that a new structure was on its way.


Mr. Fred Voss

April 18, 1973

Page 2

Thank you for your time and effort. I am confident that the Village Board will do that which is in the best interest of the Village. Should any questions arise, please feel free to call me at my home.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "John M. Jacobs".

John M. Jacobs

cc:Members Barrington Village Board
Village Manager
Barrington Press
Barrington Free Press
Paddock Publication

It is proposed that the ordinance of 1962 be revised to have new rate structure. The Barrington Taxicab Association has come up with the following rates and wish to have the Village Board pass the structure to enable complete service to continue in Barrington. The rates are as follows:

First one fifth(1/5) mile	\$.65
Each additional(1/5) mile	.10
Each additional passenger(except babes in arm)	.25
Waiting and slow traffic time	8.00 per hour
Fare over(10) miles	Fare and 1/2
11 pm to 6am	Fare and 1/2
Trips to O'Hare	10.00
Trips from O'Hare	12.50

All senior citizens who are retired---will be charged by the 1962 rate structure and the 1962 village limits.

These new rates are to be determined by the use of meters in all cabs operating in Barrington. If any cab operates without using the meter they will be fined(500.00). Also cabs must service Barrington twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, however this may be done by having phone service.

We respectfully submit this to the Village Board of Barrington along with what we consider a comprehensive modern and up to date Village Taxicab ordinance tailored to the Barrington area.

Barrington Area Taxicab Association

Commissioner

-----Public Transportation-----

-----Office of Public Transportation Commissioner.-----

The office of the Public Transportation Commissioner shall be created by the Village Board.

The Public Transportation Commissioner shall be appointed by the Village Board.

-----Taxicabs-----

-----License Required.-----

It shall be unlawful to engage in the business of operating a Taxicab in the Municipality without first having secured a License. Applications shall be made in writing to the Public Transportation Commissioner, and shall state thereon the name of the applicant and the intended place of business. If the applicant is a corporation, the names and addresses of the President and Secretary thereof shall be given.

-----Definition-----

The term "Taxicab" as used in the ordinance shall mean and include any vehicle used to carry passengers for hire but not operating on a fixed route.

-----Charter of Applicant.-----

No such license shall be issued to or held by any person who is not a person of good character or who has been convicted of a felony, nor shall such license be issued to or held by any corporation if any officer thereof would be ineligible for a license under the foregoing conditions.

-----Fee-----

The annual fee payable in advance, for such licenses shall be \$ 100.00 and \$ 7.50 for each taxicab Village Sticker. Whenever the number of cabs so operated shall be increased during the license year, the license shall notify the Public Transportation Commissioner of such change and

shall pay the additional \$ 7.50 per village sticker required,Such fee shall be in lieu of any other vehicle fee required by ordinance and the Village Clerk shall issue suitable tags or stickers for the number of cabs covered by each license.Such tag or sticker shall be displayed in a prominent place,on each taxicab which is in use,and may be transferred to any taxicab put into service to replace one withdrawn from service.The licensee shall notify the Public Transportation Commissioner of the motor number and State license number of each cab operated and of the corresponding Municipal tag or sticker number.

-----Vehicles-----

No taxicab shall be operated unless it bears a state license duly issued,and no such cab shall be operated unless it is equipped with proper brakes,lights,tires,horn,muffler,rear vision mirror,and windshield wiper in good condition.It shall be the duty of the Public Transportation Commissioner to inspect every taxicab so often as may be necessary to see to the enforcement of the provisions of this section.

Each taxicab,while operated,shall have on each side,in letters readable from a distance of twenty feet,the name of the licensee operating it.If more than one cab is operated by a licensee each cab shall be designated by a different number and such number shall also appear on each side of such cab.

-----No person shall drive a taxicab,or be hired or permitted to do so unless he is duly licensed by the Village of Barrington.

-----Insurance-----

No taxicab shall be operated unless it is covered by a bond or public liability policy in the amount required by statute.

-----Traffic Rules-----

It shall be the duty of every driver of a taxicab to obey all traffic rules established by statute or ordinance.

-----Unlawful use.-----

It shall be unlawful to knowingly permit any taxicab to be used in the perpetration of a crime or misdemeanor.

-----Passenger.-----

It shall be the duty of the driver of any taxicab to accept as a passenger any person who seeks to so use the taxicab,provided such person is not intoxicated and conducts himself in an orderly manner.

The driver shall take his first passenger to his destination by the most direct route from the place where the passenger enters the

cab,thence the second,etc.

It shall be unlawful for a passenger to fail or refuse to pay the lawful fare at the termination of a trip.Should legal action be necessary to secure payment,the passenger failing to pay on demand shall be responsible for all incumberances necessary for recovery.

No extra charge shall be made for baggage or parcels the size or number of which permits them to be carried in the cab.

-----Cab Stands.-----

The Chicago and North Western train depot, South side of tracks one lane along the platform area and appropriatly marked by signs and the lane area designated in yellow paint.All the forgoing to be supervised by the Public Transporation Commissiner.It shall be unlawful to park, stop,or stand ,any vehicle other than a licensed taxicab in any cab stand.Anyone round in violation of the ~~taxicab~~^{TAXI STAND} ordinance shall be fined not less than \$ 25.00 nor more than \$ 100.00 for each offense and a seperate offense shall be deemed committed each time an officer is called or,in his regular patrol duties finds a vehicle in violation or in continuing violation.

-----Limitation.-----

There shall be two taxicab licenses authorized for issuance in the Village of Barrington,with no limitation on the number of taxicab vehicles except compliance with the ordiance relating to fees,these licenses in original issuance are to be issued to "Tommy's Taxi"and Barrington Village Taxi Inc.

-----Penalty.-----

Any person,firm or corporation violating any provision of this ordiance shall be fined not less than \$ 5.00 nor more than \$ 200.00 for each offense,and a seperate offense shall be deemed committed on each day during or on which a violation occures or continues.

-----This Ordinance supercedes any and all other ordinances pertaining to privately owned public transportation facilitys.